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## GP 2-to-C Compiler



## Example program: transitive closure

A graph is transitive if for every directed path $v \rightsquigarrow v^{\prime}$ with $v \neq v^{\prime}$, there is an edge $v \rightarrow v^{\prime}$.

Program for computing a transitive closure of the input graph (smallest transitive extension):

Main $=$ link!
$\operatorname{link}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}: \operatorname{list})$

where not edge (1,3)

Example program: transitive closure (cont'd)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{0}^{\infty}+\alpha_{8}^{\infty}+\infty=\alpha_{8}^{0} 0 \\
& Q_{8}^{2}+A_{0}^{2} 0-Q_{8}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Graph transformation is slow: example

Input: A non-empty unlabelled graph $G$ without marks. Output: Fail if and only if $G$ is disconnected.

$$
\text { Main }=\text { init; forward!; if match then fail }
$$
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Output: Fail if and only if $G$ is disconnected.


- Maximal number of rule applications: $|V|$
- Worst case time for matching forward: $\mathcal{O}(|V| \times|E|)$
- Worst case program runtime: $\mathcal{O}\left(|V|^{2} \times|E|\right)$


## Graph transformation is slow: example

Measured runtime on square grids:


## Checking connectedness with rooted rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Main }=\text { init; DFS!; if match then fail } \\
& \text { DFS }=\text { forward!; try back else break } \\
& \text { init (x:list) }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Rule init generates a unique root node in the host graph.
- GP 2's graph data structure includes a list of C-pointers to access roots in constant time.


## Checking connectedness with rooted rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Main }=\text { init; DFS!; if match then fail } \\
& \text { DFS }=\text { forward!; try back else break } \\
& \text { init (x:list) }
\end{aligned}
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- Rule init generates a unique root node in the host graph.
- GP 2's graph data structure includes a list of C-pointers to access roots in constant time.
- Rules forward and back can be matched in constant time in graph classes of bounded node degree.
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- The program implements a depth-first search to find all nodes connected to the root.


## Checking connectedness with rooted rules

## Theorem (Correctness and complexity)

1. Given a non-empty input graph $G$, the program fails if and only if $G$ is disconnected.
2. The program terminates in time $\mathcal{O}(|V|+|E|)$ on input graph classes of bounded node degree.
```
Main = init; DFS!; if match then fail
DFS = forward!; try back else break
```

init(x:list)

$$
\underbrace{}_{1} \Rightarrow
$$

forward(a,x,y:list)

$$
\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y} \Rightarrow \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}
$$

match(x:list)

$$
\mathrm{x}_{1} \Rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{1}
$$

back(a,x,y:list)


## Graph classes for time measurements



Grid graphs


Cycle graphs


Binary trees


Star graphs


Linked lists


Complete graphs

## Checking connectedness with rooted rules

Measured runtime on bounded-degree graphs:


## Checking connectedness with rooted rules

Measured runtime on star graphs:


Matching attempts with the forward rule


Matching attempts with the forward rule



Worst case: $2|E|+\sum_{i=1}^{|E|} i=\mathcal{O}\left(|E|^{2}\right)$

Matching attempts with the forward rule



$$
\psi_{\{\{, \mathrm{tapk}\}}^{1.0}
$$



Expected numbers

## Improving the GP 2 graph data structure

- 2015: In Chris Bak's original graph data structure, each node contained the IDs of two inedges and two outedges. Other incident edges were placed in a dynamic array.
- 2020: In Graham Campbell's and Jack Romö's data structure, each node comes with two linked lists, one for all inedges and one for all outedges.
- 2024: Ziad Ismaili Alaoui modified the 2020 data structure by placing the edges incident with a node into 15 different lists, separated by edge marks and edge directions.


## Storing incident edges

Each node $v$ comes with a two-dimensional array holding 15 linked lists of incident edges:

|  | in | out | loop |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unmarked | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| dashed | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| red | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| green | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| blue | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

where "..." is a linked list of edges incident with $v$
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where "..." is a linked list of edges incident with $v$

- As a consequence, finding an edge incident with a given node requires only constant time.


## Checking connectedness in linear time

Main $=$ init; DFS!; if match then fail
DFS = (next_edge; \{move, ignore\})!; try back else break
init(x:list)

next_edge( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}:$ list)

move(a, $x, y: l i s t)$

match(x:list)

$$
\underbrace{}_{1} \Rightarrow \underbrace{}_{1}
$$

ignore(a, $x, y: l i s t)$

back(a, $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}:$ list)


- Input graphs have grey nodes; magenta is a wildcard for marks
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Main $=$ init; DFS!; if match then fail
DFS = (next_edge; \{move, ignore\})!; try back else break
init(x:list)

next_edge( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}:$ list)

move(a, $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}:$ list)

match(x:list)

ignore(a, $x, y: l i s t)$

back(a, x,y:list)


- Input graphs have grey nodes; magenta is a wildcard for marks
- All rules except match are matched in constant time.


## Checking connectedness in linear time

Theorem (Correctness and complexity)

1. Given a non-empty input graph $G$, the program fails if and only if $G$ is disconnected.
2. The program terminates in time $\mathcal{O}(|V|+|E|)$.

## Checking connectedness in linear time

Measured runtime:


## 2-colouring

A 2-colouring is an assignment $V \rightarrow$ \{blue, red $\}$ such that each non-loop edge has end points with distinct colours.


## Lemma

A graph is 2-colourable if and only if it does not contain an undirected cycle of odd length $\geq 3$.

## 2-Colouring in linear time

```
Main = init; DFS!; try unroot else fail
DFS = Forward!; try back else break
Forward = next_edge; try {colour_red, colour_blue, blue_red,red_blue}
else (unroot; break)
init(x:list)
x (x)
next_edge(a, x,y:list)
```


colour_blue(a, $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}:$ list)

red_blue(a, x,y:list)


```
```

unroot(x:list)

```
```

```
```

unroot(x:list)

```
```


colour_red(a, $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ :list)

blue_red(a, $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ :list)

back(a, x,y:list)


- Input graphs have grey nodes and are connected


## 2-Colouring in linear time





FORWARD!


## 2-Colouring in linear time

Theorem (Correctness and complexity)

1. Given a non-empty and connected input graph $G$, the program fails if $G$ is not 2-colourable. Otherwise, the program returns $G$ with nodes coloured red and blue such that adjacent nodes have different colours.
2. The program terminates in time $\mathcal{O}(|V|+|E|)$.

## 2-Colouring in linear time

Measured runtime:
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## Recognising binary DAGs in linear time

- Directed acyclic graphs where each node has at most two outgoing edges
- Our program reduces input graphs by repeatedly
- moving a root along edges in opposite direction to find a node $v$ without incoming edges, and
- deleting $v$ and its $\leq 2$ outedges
- The input graph is a binary DAG iff the result graph is empty


## Recognising binary DAGs in linear time

```
Main = (init; Reduce!; if flag then break)!; if flag then fail
Reduce = up!; try Delete else (set_flag; break)
init(x:list) up(a,x,y:list)
x (x)
set_flag(x:list)
flag(x:list)
x}
x
where outdeg(1)<3
x}
```

Delete $=\{$ del1, del1_d, del21, del21_d, del22, del22_d, del0 $\}$

$$
\operatorname{del} 1(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}: \text { list })
$$

$$
\left(\mathrm{x} \frac{\mathrm{a}}{1} \mathrm{x}\right.
$$

del21(a,b,x,y:list)
x

$$
\operatorname{del} 22(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}: \text { list) }
$$


del1_d(a,x,y:list)
del0(x:list)

$$
\left(x+\frac{a}{x} \Rightarrow\right.
$$

$$
x \Rightarrow \emptyset
$$

Recognising binary DAGs in linear time
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## Recognising binary DAGs in linear time



## Recognising binary DAGs in linear time

Theorem (Correctness and complexity)

1. Given an input graph $G$, the program fails if and only if $G$ is not a binary DAG.
2. The program terminates in time $\mathcal{O}(|V|+|E|)$.

## Recognising binary DAGs in linear time

Measured runtime:


## Overview: Fast GP 2 programs

| Destructive | Non-destructive |
| :--- | :--- |
| Binary DAG recognition | Checking connectedness <br> (linear time) <br> 2-Colouring <br> (linear-time on connected graphs) |
| Tree recognition | Topological sorting <br> (linear-time on bounded-degree classes) |
| (all linear time) | Minimum spanning tree generation <br> $(\mathcal{O}(m \log n)$ on bounded-degree classes) |

## Conclusion

- Rule-based graph programs allow for simple formal reasoning about correctness and complexity - compared with imperative programs.
- Programmers don't have access to the graph data structure: a reasonable price to pay for simple formal reasoning.
- Rule matching in constant time is crucial for achieving fast runtimes.
- Our case studies match the best known time bounds of imperative algorithms - sometimes under mild conditions.
- For programs such as 2-colouring, we need not assume connected input graphs if nodes are separated by marks too (work in progress).
- We speculate that all DFS-based graph algorithms can be implemented to run in linear time without extra conditions.

